

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,716	06/08/2000	Stephen V. Kosonocky	YO999-369	9798
75	90 11/18/2004		EXAM	INER
William E Lewis Ryan & Mason LLP			DO, CHAT C	
90 Forest Avenu			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Locust Valley,	NY 11560	4	2124	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/589,716	KOSONOCKY, STEPHEN V.			
	,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chat C. Do	2124			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Therefore final reject condition	LY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE, further action by the applicant is required to a tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelon (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice by a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
-	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
b) T e C 7 Extension have been file 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if o	he period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advivent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the NLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 06.07(f).  Ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date do is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the infection of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Let they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
, ,	they are not deemed to place the application	•	orially raduaing or simplifying the			
(c) $\Box$	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	m better form for appear by mat	enally reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE:					
3. Apr	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
car	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would nceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
	e a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request fo plication in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NOT place the			
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be sed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
	purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment planation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•			
The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Cla	aim(s) allowed:					
Cla	aim(s) objected to: <u>2-5,10 and 17</u> .		•			
Cla	aim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-9,11-16 and 18-20</u> .					
Cla	nim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The	drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9.□ Not	e the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. <b>□ Ot</b> l	ner:					

Part 5(c): First of all, claims 10 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims eventhough they weren't mention in the last Office action. After review the arguments carefully submitted by the applicant, the examiner's position on drawing objection is firm and believes the cited reference by Lee discloses all the limitation cited in the independent claims. Lee discloses an apparatus for use in summing at least two binary values (A and B) in Figure 4 comprising a binary adder circuit respective to a first binary value (A into MP11) a second binary value (B into MP12) and a carry value (C into MP13) and operative to generate a binary output value (SUM') representative of a summation of the first binary value the second binary value and the carry value the binary adder circuit having dynamic logic (col. 2 lines 29-32), without inversion of signals driving one or more dynamic nodes associated with the dynamic logic (no inverter or inverting mechanism is used in Figure 4, the CLKB signal without inversion is applied to N-MOS and P-MOS transistors in 41-44), for implement an exclusive OR function (MP33, MP34 and col. 1 lines 41-45) that generates the binary output value (SUM) without one of a positive and a negative complementary version of the carry value (table 3 in col. 3 and col. 2 lines 65-68 and col. 3 lines 1-3).

KAKALI CHAKE

SUPERVISORY PATE: XAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100